



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/595,741	06/16/2000		Terri Sorge	13237-2580 MS #149442.1	13237-2580 MS #149442.1 1660	
	7590	01/13/2005		EXAM	IINER	
HOMER L.	KNEAR	L	BLAIR, DO	BLAIR, DOUGLAS B		
MERCHANT	& GOUI	LD P.C.				
P.O. BOX 290	03		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MINNEAPOI	LIS, MN	55402-0903	2142			

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/595,741	SORGE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Douglas B Blair	2142					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Se	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 September 2004.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-12,15-21,23-30 and 33-49</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-12,15-21,23-30 and 33-49</u> is/are	rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmont/c\							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/595,741 Page 2

Art Unit: 2142

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Claims 1-3, 5-12, 15-21, 23-30, and 33-49 are currently pending in this application.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 9/17/2004, with respect to the Drawing objection and the 112 2nd rejection have been fully considered and are persuasive. The Drawing objection and the 112 2nd rejection have been withdrawn.
- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 9/17/2004 with regard to the 102(b) rejection have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Wolf is considered to teach coding interactive control for a pre-selected portion, inserting the coded interactive control into an email message with a coded pre-selected portion of the document so that the recipient can apply the interactive control to the pre-selected portion and sending the coded pre-selected portion of the document and the interactive control to the recipient via electronic mail. For instance the view interface discussed in col. 10, lines 24-37 can be considered interactive control for the document data. The view and the document data are both transmitted to the client via email.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2142

5. Claims 1-2, 5, 7-8, 11-12, 16-20, 23, 25-26, 29-30, 34-37, 40-41, 44-45, and 47-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticiapted by U.S. Patent Number 5,818,447 to Wolf et al..

Page 3

As to claim 37, Wolf teaches a computer-readable medium with instructions for 6. automatically sending a pre-selected portion of a spreadsheet document to a recipient, having computer-executable instructions comprising: receiving a pre-selected portion of a document (col. 9, lines 18-54); determining content in the pre-selected portion of the document (col. 9, lines 18-54); in response to determining the content, changing a user interface to correspond with the content (col. 9, lines 18-54); receiving a command through the user interface to send the preselected portion of the document to a recipient via electronic mail (col. 12, lines 1-31 and col. 15, lines 23-52); in response to receiving the command to send the pre-selected portion for the document, coding the pre-selected portion of the document for transmission via electronic mail, launching an electronic mail application program, and inserting the coded pre-selected portion of the document into an e-mail message (col. 12, lines 1-31 and col. 15, lines 23-52); detecting a command to apply an interface control to the pre-selected portion of the document; in response to receiving a command to apply an interactive control to the pre-selected portion, coding the interactive control for the pre-selected portion, the interactive control for providing spreadsheet functions to the pre-selected portion within the e-mail message without opening another program (col. 15, line 53-col. 16, line 9 and col. 23, line 59-col. 24, line 3), and inserting the coded interactive control into the e-mail message with the coded pre-selected portion of the document so that the recipient can apply the interactive control to the pre-selected portion (col. 12, lines 1-31 and col. 15, lines 23-52); and sending the coded pre-selected portion of the document and the

Art Unit: 2142

interactive control to the recipient via electronic mail (col. 12, lines 1-31 and col. 15, lines 23-52).

Page 4

- 7. As to claims 1-2 and 5, the limitations of claims 1-2 and 5 are found in claim 37 and therefore claims 1-2 and 5 are rejected for the same reasons as claim 37.
- 8. As to claims 19-20 and 23, the limitations of claims 19-20 and 23 are found in claim 37 and therefore claims 19-20 and 23 are rejected for the same reasons as claim 37.
- 9. As to claim 40, Wolf teaches the computer-readable medium of claim 37, wherein the pre-selected portion of the document comprises a data range comprising a single cell or multiple cells (col. 23, line 59-col. 24, line 3, cells are inherent to any spreadsheet).
- 10. As to claim 41, since a spreadsheet features tables claim 40 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 40.
- 11. As to claims 44 and 49, they are rejected for the same reasons as claim 40.
- 12. As to claims 7, 8, 11, and 18, they feature the same limitations as claims 40, 41, 44 and 49 and are rejected for the same reasons as claims 40, 41, 44, and 49.
- 13. As to claims 25, 26, 29, and 36, they feature the same limitations as claims 40, 41, 44 and 49 and are rejected for the same reasons as claims 40, 41, 44, and 49.
- 14. As to claim 45, Wolf teaches the computer-readable medium of claim 37, wherein the document comprises a word processing document or file (col. 22, lines 36-46).
- 15. As to claims 12 and 30, they feature the same limitations as claim 45 and are rejected for the same reason as claim 45.

Application/Control Number: 09/595,741 Page 5

Art Unit: 2142

16. As to claim 47, Wolf teaches the computer-readable medium of claim 37, wherein the

interactive control comprising information to enable a recipient viewing the content of the pre-

selected portion to manipulate the content (col. 23, line 59-col. 24, line 3).

17. As to claims 16 and 34, they feature the same limitations as claim 48 and are rejected for

the same reason as claim 48.

18. As to claim 48, Wolf teaches the computer-readable medium of claim 37, wherein the

interactive control comprises filtering, sorting, calculating, pivoting, and charting functions (col.

23, line 59-col. 24, line 3).

19. As to claims 17 and 35, they feature the same limitations as claim 48 and are rejected for

the same reason as claim 48.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 20. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 21. Claims 3, 9-10, 21, 27-28, 38, and 42-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Number 5,818,447 to Wolf et al. in view of U.S. Patent Number 5,748,188 to Hu et al..
- 22. As to claim 38, Wolf teaches the computer system of claim 37; however Wolf does not explicitly teach coding a document with HTML.

Hu teaches coding a pre-selected portion of a document in HTML that is to be e-mailed to a client (col. 10, lines 48-65).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Computer Networking art to combine the teachings of Wolf regarding the transfer of information via email with the teachings of Hu regarding the coding of a document with HTML because HTML is commonly used to describe any particular organization of information (Hu, col. 1, lines 24-32).

23. As to claim 42, Wolf teaches the computer system of claim 37; however Wolf does not explicitly teach the inclusion of a chart.

Hu teaches the inclusion of a chart in a document to be e-mailed to a client (col. 22, lines 64-67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Computer Networking art to combine the teachings of Wolf regarding the transfer of information via email with the teachings of Hu regarding the inclusion of a chart because a chart is a common way to convey data to a user.

- 24. As to claim 43, a chart is considered a graphic therefore claim 42 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 42.
- 25. As to claim 3, 9, and 10 they feature the same limitations as claims 38 and 42-43 and are rejected on the same basis as claims 21, 27 and 28.
- 26. As to claim 38 and 42-43 they feature the same limitations as claims 38 and 42-43 and are rejected on the same basis as claims 38 and 42-43.
- 27. Claims 6, 24, and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Number 5,818,447 to Wolf et al..

Art Unit: 2142

28. As to claim 39, Wolf teaches the medium of claim 37 including sending pre-selected

content however Wolf does not explicitly teach a button for sending.

Official notice is taken that it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the

Page 7

Computer Networking art to include a button on a user interface at the time of the applicant's

invention.

29. As to claims 6 and 24, they feature the same limitations as claim 39 and are rejected for

the same reason as claim 39.

30. Claims 15, 33, and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

U.S. Patent Number 5,818,447 to Wolf et al. in view of U.S. Patent Number 6,542,923 to

Nguyen.

31. As to claim 46, Wolf teaches the medium of claim 37; however Wolf does not teach the

use of ActiveX.

Nguyen teaches the use of ActiveX controls for use in a system for emailing content (col.

2, lines 53-67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Computer Networking art at the

time of the invention to combine the teachings of Wolf regarding the transfer of information via

email with the teachings of Nguyen because ActiveX programs provide a convenient way to

provide interaction (Nguyen, col. 1, lines 9-42).

32. As to claims 15 and 33, they feature the same limitation as claim 46 and are rejected for

the same reasons as claim 46.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2142

33. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. U.S. Patents 5,634,019 and 5,838,906 both teach coding interactive controls for

programs within documents.

34. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

35. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

36. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Douglas B Blair whose telephone number is 571-272-3893. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5pm Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jack Harvey can be reached on 571-272-3896. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3800,

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 8

Page 9

Art Unit: 2142

Douglas Blair